

AUDIT & GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE - Decision Summary

Meeting: 18th December 2017

http://cambridgeshirepeterborough-ca.gov.uk/assets/Audit-and-Governance-Committee/Audit-Governance-Agenda-181217.pdf

Chair: John Pye (Chair and Independent Person)

Summary of decisions taken at this meeting

Item	Topic	Decision [None of the decisions below are key decisions]
1.	Apologies And Declarations Of Interests	The Chairman welcomed the Mayor of the Combined Authority. Apologies were received from Cllr Fraser and Cllr Chapman. The Chairman advised the committee that the CA is in the process of recruiting a permanent s.151 officer. Adverts have been approved and the necessary processes put in place. The recruitment would begin in the new year. Several interviews had been conducted for an interim s.151 officer but to date, no suitable candidate had been identified. The Chief Executive and Monitoring Officer meet regularly with two experienced finance officers to monitor the financial position and the Audit and Governance Committee will provide the oversight for that position.
2.	Minutes of the meeting held on 21st	The minutes of the meeting held on the 21st September were agreed as a correct record.

		•The post of the Section 151 officer needed someone with a deep understanding of the Combined Authority.
		•The Mayor gave his commitment that the Combined Authority would ensure it was transparent in all its activities.
		The Chairman thanked the Mayor for attending to provide an update and asked him to return at a future date to keep the committee updated.
4	Internal Audit Update	The Committee received the report from the Chief Internal Auditor which outlined the ongoing review of the governance arrangements within the Combined Authority.
		Cllr Harrison asked whether the Code of Conduct could be reviewed as it seemed too lightweight in comparison to the Code of Conduct of other local authorities and was advised that the Monitoring Officer favoured a less complex code but that the Code of Conduct could be included within the review and would discuss this with the Chief Internal Auditor.
		The Chief Internal Auditor would bring a report back to the Audit and Governance Committee with a further update on the review in March.
		The Chairman raised the issue of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee requesting a review of the consultants used by the Combined Authority. Members of the committee requested this be extended to include a broad range of procurement activities.
		The Chairman advised that it was important that the remits of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee and the Audit and Governance Committee were clear to avoid duplication of work and that he would be meeting with the Overview and Scrutiny CommitteeChairman in the New Year.
5	External Audit 2016-17 Annual Audit Letter	The Committee received the report which asked the committee to note the Annual Audit Letter, as prepared by Ernst and Young following the completion of their 2016/17 audit.
		The Committee noted the report.

6	Audit Plan 2017/18	The Committee received the report which asked for the approval of the 2017/18 Audit Plan as prepared by Ernst & Young LLP and to approve a proposed change to the Audit and Governance Committee meeting dates and work programme to accommodate the changed statutory deadline for approval of the Statement of Accounts.
		The external auditors outlined the three main risks in the report; the first two risks were common risks for all organisations and the third risk was the change of deadlines which the committee needed to be aware of.
		There would be a workshop in May which would provide the committee an opportunity to review the draft statement of accounts.
		A question was asked around the Planning Materiality and the committee were advised that this was a common approach taken by external auditors to make judgements. As the materiality had been set at the top range this meant the auditors felt there was currently low risk.
		As a result of the external deadlines being moved there would be more estimated figures used which did create more risk, however this should be mitigated by the committee having early sight of the accounts. If there was anything significant that could have an effect on the estimates this would be discussed with the committee.
		Risks around the Mayor operating without appropriate arrangements in place or the absence of the Section 151 Officer would be reflected in the risk audit carried out by the external auditor.
		Currently the external auditors had good engagement with the finance officers and were satisfied with the interim arrangements.
		The Chairman requested that he be consulted if the external auditors did develop any concerns.
		The Committee received and considered the External Audit Plan for 2017/18.
		The Committee noted the planned audit fees for the year and noted the changes in statutory deadlines for the preparation of draft accounts and publishing of audited accounts.
		The Committee approved the proposal to hold an informal workshop in mid-May to discuss and comment on the draft accounts 2017/18.

5

			The Committee noted the proposed complaints procedure for the combined authority as set out in Appendix 1 and that the Monitoring Officer has delegated authority to make any changes recommended by the Local Ombudsmen or resulting out of the Audit and Governance Committee function to monitor the complaints process.
			The Committee recommends:
			(a) that the combined authority board approve and adopt the complaints procedure
			(b) that the combined authority notify the local ombudsman of its decision to approve and adopt the complaints procedure.
,	9.	Freedom of Information (FOI) and Data Protection Policy	The Committee received the report which asked the committee to note the action taken to comply with freedom of information legislation.
			There was an intention to have a publication scheme with the aim to publish as much information as possible.
99			Members requested that it was made clear in the policy whether there was the intention to publish FOI responses online.
			FOI and data Protection requests were being handled by the Democratic Service staff.
			The Chairman requested that the number of FOI requests received be included in the annual report brought to the committee.
			The Committee is agreed to:
			(a) note the Data Protection Policy at Appendix 1.
			(b) note the Freedom of Information Policy at Appendix 2.
			(c)Note publication scheme listing the types of information that is available or will be made available on the Combined Authority website at Appendix 3
l			

(d) Recommend that the combined authority Board approves Appendices 1, 2 and 3
The Committee received the report which outlined the Combined Authority's draft Treasury Management Strategy for 2018/19.
The following points were raised during the discussion: -
•Current version is limited in scope as it was drafted before Combined Authority had any capital programmes. The 2018/19 version had been expanded to include the borrowing powers of the Combined Authority.
•Currently Peterborough City Council invest on behalf of the Combined Authority
•Each capital project goes through a budget allocation and approval process with the Combined Authority Board. It is difficult to determine borrowing requirements for investments where there is uncertainty on the amounts and timing of the required funds. The Combined Authority is trying to establish current and future borrowing and investment profiles.
•Substantial funding had been received for the housing programmes and the CEO expected that in January 2018 the Combined Authority would have a strong profile of delivery against those programme and therefore a much stronger understanding around capital deployment. Papers would be coming to the Combined Authority Board regarding this.
•The other two areas of major capital were the transport projects and the Peterborough University.
•The CEO advised that all feasibility studies for transport schemes had been commissioned and in 9-12 months the Combined Authority would have a view on the feasibility of those projects and the likely delivery of those into capital programmes.

December 2018 and it was expected that it would include a view on funding strategy for

			university, which may involve tens of millions of pounds and would also provide an understanding of the timeframes.
			•The Combined Authority was accountable to the DCLG to ensure we have delivering value for money schemes. The role of the committee was to ensure systems were in place to allow for this.
			The Committee members debated the need for the Treasury Management Strategy to be bolder, with some members feeling it should remain as proposed in the report while others felt it needed to take more risks.
			•The Committee requested that the report return in March with two alternative options for the committee to consider and make recommendations on to the Board on the Treasury Management Strategy.
			The Committee requested that advisor attend the march meeting to provide a development session on this topic to help inform the committees decision.
68			The Committee reviewed the Combined Authority's draft Treasury Management Strategy for 2018/19 and note that an updated version will be brought back to the next Committee meeting to take account of any changes prompted by the DCLG November 2017 consultation.
	11.	Assurance Framework	The Committee received the report from the Strategic Financial Advisor which outlined the progress to date on key areas of the review of the Assurance Framework and the Monitoring and Evaluation Framework.
			The following points were raised:-
			•There was major new investment coming into the Combined Authority; organisational changes which will have a significant effect on the structure of the organisation.
			•The Combined Authority wanted to avoid duplication of roles and envisage that we will

This page is intentionally left blank